



Dear federations,

You are probably amazed why we are sending you this document again, here are the reasons:

Since some time, our documents have been published in forums with malicious comments, although they are only intended for our federations and that the (Copyright regulations do not allow publication elsewhere.

In this special case, it's an English "group" that is not even a member of the INF-FNI and was quoted by a delegate on page 21 of the WC2016 minutes:

*Quote: XXX: I also thought your reaction was quite dismissive. But I think we should take his points seriously. There is a group in England [naturistsactiongroup.org](http://naturistsactiongroup.org). I don't agree with everything it but I agree with the majority of his points....*

This group officially accuses me of having whitewashed the report of the CC meeting I wrote (as secretary) for the benefit of the EC. E.g.:

Objections from the assessors would not have been taken into consideration.

E.g.: The request to update our website to insert a NEWS button: "Promotions for major events" has meanwhile been integrated and is visible on the first page of our site. According to the slogan: The impossible is done immediately, miracles take a little bit longer.

Furthermore, it isn't up to a group that is not even a member of INF-FNI to complain about this INF-FNI document in a pejorative sense, but only to the Central Committee! (*One might assume that this group was instructed by "someone"?*) The report was written in accordance with the sound recording.

Concerning the presidential elections, to repeat myself:

During and after the assembly, irregularities were observed by various federations, and since I could not and did not want to intervene as chair of the conference, I submitted this objection to the opinion of our Legal Council (see Statutes, § 14, point d: "Violation of statutory membership rights" with the available supporting documents. This is within my competence, even if it does not correspond to the plan of various persons. The Legal Council's decision should be known in the meantime by all of you!

And furthermore to read this :

Quote: [BareOaks](#) wrote: (*Canada*)

... I also think it would be easier to regain control of the INF **and its assets** than to try and form a new one.

One can easily imagine what that means. I dare to doubt if the European federations, the biggest financiers of INF-FNI, would support this!

We will not comment on any other accusations, because this could degenerate into an "Never ending Story". But we will still respond to false and malicious insinuations (*in the 3 INF-FNI languages!!!*) in the interest of our federations who are entitled to a true reproduction.

Any other document will be placed in the "waste paper basket" since we have other tasks to do after the congress with the implementation of the motions accepted during WC2016 and that every superfluous or even misleading documents by some "commentators" hinders and only delays our work. Or could this be the purpose of these riots that "ONE" can again accuse the EC/CC at the next WK of not having fulfilled its tasks?

In the future, we will insert the (acronym ©) in our relevant documents and what this means should be well known by everyone.

*My personal opinion at the end:*

*I have been a naturist since the beginning of the 1960s and I have never seen such a disaster, neither in my position as secretary of EuNat from 2010 to 2016, where cooperation with the European federations was excellent, nor since my election as secretary of INF-INF at WC2014 in Ireland. It is only since January 2016 that everything began to deteriorate and all the federations know where it comes from without even mentioning a person namely!*

Please think it over!

*(NB: This document is released for official publication and will be published in our download)*

Jean Peters  
INF-FNI Secretary  
10.01.2017

